

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/665,974 09/18/2003		9/18/2003	Mark E. Deem	022128-000300US	5366
20350	7590	02/17/2006		EXAM	IINER
TOWNSEN	ND AND T	TOWNSEND AN	PEFFLEY, MICHAEL F		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834				3739	

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\mathcal{C}	6	را
---------------	---	----

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/665,974	DEEM ET AL.					
merview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
<u>:</u>	Michael Peffley	3739					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) James Heslin.	(3)						
(2) <u>Michael Peffley</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 13 February 2006.							
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☒ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: Claim 18.							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Chanduszko et al and Ginn et al</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant argued that Ginn et al failed to teach applying energy directly to the clip member to effect closure of the tissue and there would be insufficient motivation to combine the Ginn et al teaching with the Chanduszko et al reference. The examiner would further consider these argument after reviewing the Chanduszko et al reference in more detail and a follow-up interview would be granted to discuss the arguments again.</u>							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
:							
:	100 -	7 0000					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Million	145 Ly					
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Exeminer's sign	ature, if tequired					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper Ng. 02132006